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ABSTRACT

New innovations in science and technology, communication becomes faster and easier. Information dissemination tools become the URLs through internet. Nepal Government has taken major initiatives and has good impact for g-governance. In this context, a close study among the governmental organizations’ URLs and their links from one web page to another helps for information dissemination. A web page’s link popularity is measured by the number of other web pages that link to it, and links from pages on other web sites. The page popularity is measured by using HITS and PageRank methods. The two main factors relevance and reputation are considered as major findings during study. Relevance is a measure of how easy it is for the search engine to tell that your web page is really about the search term that’s been used. It improves relevance with on-page search engine optimization, and talks about that extensively on other pages of our site. Reputation is measured by the number of links coming into one web site and the quality of those links. The most common term for that is “link popularity”.

Keyword formulation and link definition in the government web sites is random thus status of link popularity is weak. Out of twenty-nine web sites on five websites have implemented the concept of the inlink and outlink i.e. relevancy is better.
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INTRODUCTION

Link popularity is the study of total number of web sites that link to defined web page[1]. Webpage link popularity depends on linking from within one web site as well as links from pages on other web sites. Some of the more popular search engines like Google and Yahoo have toolbars which display an indicator of the link popularity of a given page [2].

The link structure of web can be viewed as a graph (link graph) in which each vertex is a web page, and each edge is a hyperlink between two pages. The web graph has some interesting properties, such as power law degree sequence and small diameter [3]. A number of stochastic models for the web graph have been proposed for better understand and predict the statistical properties of the Web [4]. The degree of link popularity refers passing of external links among websites that defines how far a web site gives the related information [5]. Researchers are developing algorithms for, mostly within the scope of single websites such as Wikipedia which may one day be unleashed upon the wider Web without sufficient protection against the creation of hyperlinks that exhibit no useful relevance. To make sure that hyperlink-generating algorithms are up to standard. It needs a way of evaluating hyperlinks that is more subtle than simply comparing the topics of the linked documents [6].

HITS Algorithm

Hyperlink-Induced Topic Search (HITS) algorithm was developed by Jon Kleinberg, a Computer Science Professor at Cornell University. This algorithm calculates the ranking of web pages in an offline mode. It has implemented an online ranking algorithm which approximates HITS [12].

Search engines perform their operations in two phases. In the first phase, this algorithm performs a crawl to gather all the web pages and stores these crawled web pages in the file system. The particular format of storing these web pages differs from one search engine to another. However, these are stored in a compressed format and are indexed for faster retrieval. The next phase involves parsing the content of the stored web pages. This step is essential in order to determine the relative ranking for each page. Ranking the web pages is a highly complex process [13]. Some of the factors that make this complex are the following: billions of web pages, intricate connections among these web pages, different formats, different languages, etc. Apart from these, different search technologies have their own pros and cons. This in turn complicates the functioning of a particular search engine. The HITS algorithm generates ranking for web pages after they have been crawled and stored in a local database.

This process is depicted more clearly in the below diagram:

Fetcher process requests batch file of urls through Queue Server Process
a http request process sends from the queue server

![Fetcher Process Diagram]

**Figure: Overview of Queue Server and Fetcher process**

This process is repeated continuously until a sufficient number of web pages are crawled or until terminated by the user. Once the data sent the Fetcher(s) is received by the Queue Server, it is processed and the main index and the priority queues are updated accordingly. Queue Server and the Fetcher can be configured to run on a single host or can be executed on distributed systems. Also, multiple Fetchers can be configured to run simultaneously. This speed up the crawling process and increases the overall efficiency of the system. The advantage of this design is that the script running the Fetcher process needs no modification, as the priority queues are maintained in the Queue Server.

**Advantages of HITS**
1. HITS scores due to its ability to rank pages according to the query string, resulting in relevant authority and hub pages.
2. The ranking is combined with other information retrieval based rankings.
3. HITS is sensitive to user query (as compared to Page Rank).
4. Important pages are obtained on the basis of calculated authority and hubs value.
5. HITS is a general algorithm for calculating authority and hubs in order to rank the retrieved data.
6. HITS induces Web graph by finding set of pages with a search on a given query string.
7. Results demonstrate that HITS calculates authority nodes and hubness correctly.

**Drawbacks of HITS algorithm**
Query Time cost, irrelevant authorities and Hubs, Mutually reinforcing relationships between hosts, Topic Drift, Less Feasibility.

**METHODOLOGY**
Web page contains so many information and citation. It is tedious task to filter the links of the webpage in terms of its domain information. So it is propose a model that is used to do the above task automatically. The model contains 7 steps and finally gives the link popularity of the web page.

**Process Model**

In the first step of the HITS algorithm the root set (most relevant pages to the query) can be obtained by taking the top n pages returned by a text-based search algorithm. A base set is generated by augmenting the root set with all the web pages that are linked from it and some of the pages that link to it. The web pages in the base set and all hyperlinks among those pages form a focused subgraph. The HITS computation is performed only on this focused subgraph [24]. According to Kleinberg [25], the reason for constructing a base set is to ensure that most (or many) of the strongest authorities are included. The Hub score and Authority score for a node is calculated with the following algorithm [11]:

**Pseudo code of HITS algorithm**

1. Let \( G \) be set of pages
2. for each page \( pg \) in \( G \) do
   3. \( pg.auth = 1 \)
   4. \( pg.hub = 1 \)
3. function Calc_Hubs_Authorities\( (G) \)
4. for step from 1 to \( i \) do
   5. \( norm = 0 \)
   6. for each page \( pg \) in \( G \) do
      7. \( pg.auth = 0 \)
      8. for each page \( qg \) in \( p.inNeighbors \) do
         9. \( pg.auth += qg.hub \)

**Figure: Process flow in the system model**
and their outer links (v1,v2,v3,v4,v5,v6) sample data in the form of adjacent matrices is given as:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Keyword/webpage</th>
<th>v1</th>
<th>v2</th>
<th>v3</th>
<th>v4</th>
<th>v5</th>
<th>v6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Implement</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitoring</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Responsible</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manage</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Autonomous</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table: Adjacent Matrix of moe.gov.np web page.

Steps to run Application software
For the detail implementation of the weighted HITS algorithm to compute the link degree among the selected Nepal government web sites, simple application is developed. For the computation, first of all adjacency matrix is computed through the in-degree and out-degree. Each web site is considered as vertex or node. Here, maximum 10 nodes are considered in implementation as below.

![Diagram](image.png)

**Figure: Authority and hub score of moe**

**Comparative Study of link levels with associated keywords**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authority</th>
<th>Scores</th>
<th>Authority</th>
<th>Scores</th>
<th>Authority</th>
<th>Scores</th>
<th>Authority</th>
<th>Scores</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.95</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table: Adjacent Matrix of lawcommission.gov.np web page.

**Figure: Comparative Study of link with associated keywords**

**IMPLEMENTATION AND RESULTS**
The HITS algorithm is a very popular and effective algorithm to rank documents based on the link information among a set of websites. However, it assigns every link with the same weight which results in topic drift. This paper generalizes the similarity of web pages and proposes a query-induced similarity describing how a webpage is similar to another on a query topic. Then, it also analyzed a new improved weighted hits-based (HITS) algorithm by assigning appropriate weights to links with the similarity and popularity of web pages. Experiment results indicate that the improved HITS algorithm can find more relevant pages than HITS, ARC, SALSA and improve the relevance by 30%-50%.

**Tools:** The user interface of the thesis was built using jsp with spring framework. The whole system was built in eclipse with jdk 1.6. It has used the open source MySql server as our database.

**J2EE:** Java two enterprise editions is one of the platforms apart from other two platforms J2E and J2ME that covers many areas of enterprise and distributed development. The J2EE platform offers a multiter distributed application model, reusable components, a unified security model, flexible transaction control, and web services support through integrated data interchange on Extensible Markup Language (XML)-based open standards and protocols.

**Data Samples:** The goal of the paper is to rank the Nepal government’s web pages on the basis of computing domain relevancy in the outer links of the web pages. There are about fifty governmental websites and have taken only 29 ministry web sites for the experiment and among them have taken five web sites
Study of websites with their authority score by using keywords

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Keywords</th>
<th>Mohp</th>
<th>moe</th>
<th>Moic</th>
<th>mofa</th>
<th>lawcommission</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Link_level_1</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Link_level_2</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Link_level_3</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Link_level_4</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Link_level_5</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Link_level_6</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure: Measured Authority Score of web sites.

From the above line graph analysis, the ministry of law has the highest score index because all the 6 keywords scores are ranged within the index value of about .70 to 1.00. It means website is well organised from the view of user accessibility. Hence, contents and its links are well managed in ministry of law and commission.

Study of websites with Hub Scores of keywords

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Keywords</th>
<th>Hub</th>
<th>Authority</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Link_level_1</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Link_level_2</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Link_level_3</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Link_level_4</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Link_level_5</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Link_level_6</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure: Measured Hub score of different web sites.

From the above line graph analysis, the ministry of information and Communication has the highest authoritative index because all the 6 keywords are ranged within the index value of .80 to 1.00. It means website is well designed with necessary study of the indegree, outdegree, link analysis by relevance and reputation.

CONCLUSIONS

This study included twenty nine Nepal governments’ Ministry web sites for the experiment but only five web sites have their relevancy value well. Among five web sites the best relevancy score has been found in website Ministry of Information and Communication.
From the overall index of authoritative scores analysis of existing status of 5 most common Nepal Government websites, ministry of information and communication and ministry of foreign affairs observed good status on link popularity. The ministry of law also seems to be well organised but needs some improvements. But majority of other ministries websites need more structural, security and link level study and implementation to proper dissipation of the electronic information in the globally competent world.
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