QUANTIFICATION OF VALSARTAN IN TABLETS BY VALIDATED UV-SPECTROPHOTOMETRIC METHOD

Dobrina Doncheva Tsvetkova^{1*}, Danka Petrova Obreshkova¹, Vladimir Petrov Yankov²

¹Department of Pharmaceutical Chemistry, Faculty of Pharmacy, Medical University-Sofia 2 Dunav Str., Sofia 1000, Bulgaria

²AOP Orphan Pharmaceuticals AG, Wilhelminenstraße 91/IIf, 1160 Vienna, Austria

^{*}Author for correspondence

Dobrina Doncheva Tsvetkova Medical University-Sofia, Faculty of Pharmacy Department of Pharmaceutical Chemistry 2 Dunav Str., Sofia 1000, BULGARIA tel.: +359 02 9236 566

dobrinka30@mail.bg

Abstract.

Angiotensin receptor blocker Valsartan is approved for treatment of I and II stage of hypertension. The aim of current study was the application of the validated UV-spectrophotometric method for determination of Valsartan by the external standard method in pharmaceutical dosage preparations (tablets) in 99.98 % ethanol at $\lambda max = 252$ nm and in methanol at $\lambda max = 250$ nm. UV-VIS diode array spectrophotometer was used.

Data for Chauvenet's criterion are lower than maximum permissible value (U = 1.73; N = 6), which is applied for the assessment of the need for the removal of sharply different results. All of the experimental results suit the respective confidence intervals. The analytical parameter repeatability for tablets is characterized by the uncertainty of the result, which includes standard deviation, relative standard deviation and confidential interval. All of the experimental results correspond to the respective confidence intervals at the confidence probability 98 %: Valsartan 160 mg tabl. (99.98 % ethanol): 159.69 mg \div 160.37 mg; Valsartan 160 mg tabl. (methanol): 158.51 mg \div 160.73 mg. Relative error is lower than 0.25 %.

The validated method can be applied for the determination of Valsartan in pharmaceutical dosage forms.

Key words: Valsartan, UV-spectrophotometry, tablets, determination.

Introduction.

Angiotensin receptor blocker Valsartan (N-(1-oxopentyl)-N-[[2prime-(1H-tetrazol-5-yl)[1,1prime-biphenyl]-4-yl]methyl]-L-valine-N-[p-(o-1H-tetrazol-5-ylphenyl)benzyl]-N-valeryl-L-valine) (**Fig. 1**.) is approved for: 1) treatment of I and II stage of hypertension [1-3], metabolic syndrome [4] and chronic heart failute [5]; 2) prevention of heart attack [6] and miocardial infarction [7]; 3) therapy of myocardial fibrosis [6], diastolic dysfunction in heart failure [6], left ventricular systolic dysfunction [8, 9], left ventricular hypertrophy [10], acute coronary syndrome [11] and acute cardiac ischemia [12].





Spectrophotometry, gas chromatography and thin layer chromatography very often have been applied for different analysis: spectrophotometric quantification after derivative reactions: fluoride with alizarin red ($\lambda = 624$ nm) [13]; cobalt (II) with 2-hydroxy-5iodothiophenol ($\lambda = 598$ nm) [14]; iron (III) with 5-(p-hydroxybenzylidene)-thiazolidone-2,4 ($\lambda = 540$ nm) [15]; cromium (VI) [16] and tantalum (V) ($\lambda = 490$ nm) [17] with hydroxythiophenol; spectrophotometry for Curcuminoid analogues [18]; investigation of organochlorine pesticides in cocoa beans by GC [19]; TLC for leave extract of *Dendranthema indicum* [20]; stem bark extract of *Psorospermum senegalense* [21].

Valsartan in tablets has been determined with second detivative UVspectrophotometry [22]. UV-spectrophotometric methods have been developed for the simultaneous estimation of Valsartan and Hydrochlorothiazide in tablet dosage forms: I) ratio spectra derivative; II) inverse least squares techniques [23]; III) absorbance ratio method, which involves formation of Q-absorbance equation at: 1) $\lambda = 265$ nm (isobestic point at which both the drugs exhibit absorbance) and $\lambda \max_{Valsartan} = 249$ nm [24]; 2) $\lambda = 258.4$ nm (isoabsorptive point) and $\lambda \max_{Hydrochlorothiazide} = 272.6$ nm [25]; IV) area under curve method [24]; V) simultaneous equations method based on the measurement of absorbance at $\lambda = 249.4$ nm and $\lambda = 272.6$ nm [25].

For simultaneous determination of Valsartan and Amlodipine in tablets have been presented the following methods: 1) first derivative of the ratio spectrum ($\lambda = 290$ nm), obtained by ratio of absorption spectrum of mixture of Amlodipine and Valsartan and absorption spectrum of Amlodipine [26]; 2) simultaneous equation method [27]. Valsartan and Ramipril have been analysed by simultaneous equation method using $\lambda = 210$ nm and $\lambda = 249$ nm and by absorbance correction method [28].

First (D1), second (D2) and third (D3) derivative spectrophotometric methods have been reported for simultaneous determination of Valsartan in combination with statins: Fluvastatin – D1, D2, D3), Pravastatin – D1 and D3, Atorvastatin – D2 and D3 [29].

Different innovative spectrophotometric methods were introduced for simultaneous quantification of Valsartan and Sacubitril in combined dosage form: 1) dual wavelength

method at $\lambda = 226$ nm and $\lambda = 275$ nm; 2) advanced absorbance subtraction based on isoabsorptive point λ iso = 246 nm and $\lambda = 261$ nm; 3) ratio difference spectrophotometric method at $\lambda = 225$ nm and $\lambda = 264$ nm; 4) first derivative of ratio spectra at $\lambda = 232$ nm for Valsartan and $\lambda = 239$ nm for Sacubitril; 5) mean centering of ratio spectra at $\lambda = 260$ nm [30].

For the simultaneous estimation of Valsartan, Amlodipine besylate and Hydrochlorothiazide in combined tablet dosage form have been applied: 1) absorption correction method [31]; 2) formation and solving of simultaneous equations at λ max _{Amlodipine} besylate = 239 nm, λ max _{Valsartan} = 250 nm and λ max _{Hydrochlorothiazide} = 272 nm [32]; 3) first order derivative UV-spectrophotometry [33].

Small differences in the wavelength setting have a great effect on the result for: first, second, third derivative spectrophotometric methods, ratio spectra derivative, first derivative of the ratio spectrum and absorbance ratio method, where errors in the registration of the spectrum are the reason for method non-reproducibility. The advantage of the classical UV-spectrophotometry in comparison with UV-derivative method, is low susceptibility towards changes in the apparatus parameters [34].

Due to these reasons, the aim of current study was the application of the validated UV-spectrophotometric method for determination of Valsartan [35] in pharmaceutical dosage preparations (tablets) in 99.98 % ethanol at $\lambda max = 252$ nm and in methanol at $\lambda max = 250$ nm.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

I. Drug products: Valsartan 160 mg tabl., produced from Bulgarian pharmaceutical company. II. Reference standard: Valsartan (98 %) (Sigma Aldrich, N: SML 0142).

III. Reagents with analytical grade of puruty: 99.98 % ethanol (Sigma Aldrich, N: SZBD 0500 V UN 1170), methanol (99.9 %) (Sigma Aldrich, N: SZBD 063AV UN 1230).

METHODS. UV-spectrophotometry.

I. Equippment: UV-VIS diode array spectrophotometer (Hullett Packard N:8452 A).

II. Preparation of test-solution of Valsartan 160 mg tabl. in 99.98 % ethanol and methanol.

From the homogenized tablets of Valsartan 160 mg tabl. (with an average weight) on an analytical balance with an accuracy of 4 characters accurately were weighted 12 samples, containing an amount, equivalent to 160 mg Valsartan. 6 samples were dissolved in 99.98 % ethanol and 6 samples were dissolved in methanol in 100.0 ml volumetric flasks. From the obtained solutions, an aliquot parts of 1.0 ml were diluted separatelly to 100.0 ml with the respective solvent.

III. Preparation of reference solution of Valsartan in 99.98 % ethanol and methanol for quantity analysis of tablets by method of external standard.

An accurately weighted quantity, equivalent to 160 mg of reference standard Valsartan was measured on analytical balance with an accuracy of 4 characters and was dissolved to 100.0 ml with 99.98 % ethanol in volumetric flask. From this solution an aliquot part of 1.0 ml was diluted with the same solvent to 100.0 ml to obtaining solution of Valsartan with concentration: 8.10^{-6} g/ml. In the same manner was prepared reference solution of Valsartan in methanol with concentration: 8.10^{-6} g/ml.

V. UV-spectrophotometric procedure.

The final test-solutions of Valsartan 160 mg tabl. in 99.98 % ethanol and standard solution of Valsartan in 99.98 % ethanol with a concentration of 8.10^{-6} g/ml were analysed spectrophotometrically at $\lambda max = 252$ nm by using as a compensatory solution: 99.98 % ethanol. The absorbances of final test-solutions of tablets in methanol and standard solution of Valsartan in methanol at a concentration of 8.10^{-6} g/ml were mesdured at $\lambda max = 250$ nm by using as a blank methanol.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In our previous investigations were estimated specific and molar absorbances for Losartan Potassium and Valsartan [36], UV-spectrophotometric method was applied for Losartan Potassium in tablets [37], and UV-spectrophotometric methods for identification and determination of Telmisartan [38] and Valsartan [35] were validated for analytical parameters: selectivity, linearity, LOD, LOQ [39], accuracy and precision in accordance with International Conference on Harmonization Guidelines [40].

In our previous investigation [35] for validation of the UV-spectrophotometric method for determination of Valsartan in 99.98 % ethanol at $\lambda max = 252$ nm and in methanol at $\lambda max = 250$ nm, in terms of analytical parameters accuracy and precision (repeatability), three equal homogenous model mixtures were prepared from the most used in tablets supplement starch by adding of reference standard Valsartan, equivalent to: 75 %: 120 mg (V₁₂₀), 100 %: 160 mg (V₁₆₀), 125 %: 200 mg (V₂₀₀) of its concentration in tablets (160 mg). For every mixture were prepared 3 samples by accurately weighed quantity, containing reference standard Valsartan: 120 mg, 160 mg and 200 mg. All samples were dissolved separately in 99.98 % ethanol in volumetric flasks 200.0 ml. Aliquot parts of 1.0 ml of every of 9 resulting solutions were diluted with the same solvent to 100.0 ml. to obtain solutions with concentration of Valsartan respectively: 6.10^{-6} g/ml; 8.10^{-6} g/ml; 1.10^{-5} g/ml. By the same manner were prepared 3 samples from 3 model mixtures of reference standard Valsartan by dissolving in methanol. For linearity, accuracy and precision all solutions in 99.98 % ethanol were analyzed at $\lambda max = 252$ nm against blank 99.98 % ethanol and the absorbance of solutions in methanol was measured at $\lambda max = 250$ nm, using methanol as blank solution.

Selectivity was proved by the fact that in UV-spectra of blank solutions were not observed the measured absorption at the specific for Valsartan wavelengths. LOD and LOQ are based on regression equations for A < 0.2: y = 88004.x - 9.10-5 (99.98 % ethanol); and y = 53659.x + 0.008 (methanol). For model mixtures accuracy wss represented by the degree of recovery R [%] ± RSD [%] as per ICH Guidelines [40]: Valsartan in ethanol: R C_{V120}: 98.35 % ÷ 103.69 %; R C_{V160}: 97.51 % ÷ 99.11 %; R C_{V200}: 99.5 % ÷ 101.01 %; Valsartan (methanol): R C_{V120}: 95.12 % ÷ 101.44 %; R C_{V160}: 97.74 % ÷ 100.06 %; R C_{V200}: 98.15 % ÷ 100.57 %.

The analytical parameter precision for model mixtures was characterized by the uncertainty of the result, which is determined by SD, RSD and confidence interval. All results for the obtained quantities of Valsartan suit confidence intervals: in 99.98 % ethanol: C_{V120} : 117.32 mg \div 125.34 mg, C_{V160} : 157.06 mg \div 157.94 mg, C_{V200} : 199.97 mg \div 201.51 mg; in methanol: C_{V120} : 113.51 mg \div 122.65 mg; C_{V160} : 157.52 mg \div 158.90 mg; C_{V200} : 195.97 mg \div 201.89 mg.

In our current study was applied the validated UV-spectrophotometric method for determination of Valsartan [35] in tablets. On Table 1. were presented results for: weighted amounts of Valsartan 160 mg tabl. (average weight: 0.375 g); absorbances of tablet solutions in 99.98 % ethanol at $\lambda max = 252$ nm: (A_{Valsartan (E)}), (Ast = 0.63997) and in methanol at λmax

= 250 nm (A_{Valsartan (M)}), (Ast = 0.42844); Chauvenet's criterion for absorbances: (UA_{Valsartan} (E); UA_{Valsartan (M)}.

	99.98 % Ethanol			Methanol		
N :	Weighted	A _{Valsartan (E)}	U	Weighted	A _{Valsartan}	U
	Valsartan (E)		A _{Valsartan (E)}	A _{Valsartan (M)}	(M)	Avalsartan (M)
1.	0.3464	0.59158	1.19	0.3721	0.42160	1.27
2.	0.3570	0.60838	0.63	0.3725	0.42215	0.14
3.	0.36	0.61359	0.46	0.3738	0.42580	0.22
4.	0.3772	0.64493	0.59	0.3750	0.42832	0.41
5.	0.3798	0.64949	0.74	0.3762	0.43050	0.95
6.	0.3846	0.65584	0.95	0.3765	0.43176	1.27
\overline{X} +		$0.6273 \pm$			$0.42669 \pm$	
SD		0.03			0.004	
SD		0.03			0.004	
RSD [%]		4.78			0.94	

Table 1. Weighted quantities of Valsartan 160 mg tabl. and absornances.

On Table 2., were summarized results for: obtained content by method of external standard of Valsartan in tablets: C_{Val} (E), C_{Val} (M), after application of spectrophotometric method, degree of recovery [%]: R C_{Val} (E), R C_{Val} (M); Chauvenet's criterion for obtained content of Valsartan: UA_{Valtensin} (E); UA_{Valtensin} (M), for N – number of individual measurements

 $(1 \div 6); \overline{X}$ – mean arithmetic error; S \overline{X} – mean square error; E [%] – relative error; P – confidence possibility [%], t – coefficient of Student.

	99.98 % Ethanol			Methanol		
N:	C _{Val} (E) [mg]	$\begin{bmatrix} R & C_{Val} & (E) \\ [\%] \end{bmatrix}$	U C _{Val (Et)}	C _{Val (M)} [mg]	R C _{Val (M)} [%]	U C _{Val(Met)}
1.	160.11	100.07	0.32	158.67	99.17	1.19
2.	159.77	99.86	1.04	158.71	99.19	1.14
3.	159.80	99.88	0.92	159.52	99.7	0.13
4.	160.30	100.19	1.08	159.96	99.98	0.43
5.	160.33	100.21	1.20	160.26	100.16	0.8
6.	159.87	99.92	0.64	160.6	100.38	1.23

Table 2. Obtained content of Valsartan 160 mg tabl.

\overline{X} + SD	160.03 \pm		159. 62 ±		
	0.25		0.8		
\overline{R} [%] ±		100.02 ±		99.76±	
RSD [%]		0.16		0.5	
SD	0.25	0.16	0.8	0.5	
RSD [%]	0.16	0.16	0.5	0.5	
S \overline{X}	0.1	0.07	0.33	0.2	
P [%]	98.0	98.0	98.0	98.0	
Т	3.37	3.37	3.37	3.37	
t.S \overline{X}	0.34	0.24	1.11	0.67	
$\overline{X} \pm \text{t.S } \overline{X}$	159.69÷	99.78÷	158.51 ÷	99.09 ÷	
	160.37	100.26	160.73	100.43	
E [%]	0.06	0.07	0.21	0.2	

Data for Chauvenet's criteria for absobances and for obtained by method of external standard content of Valsartan are lower than maximum permissible value (U = 1.73; N = 6), which is applied for the assessment of the need for the removal of sharply different results. The analytical parameter repeatability for tablets is characterized by the uncertainty of the result, which includes standard deviation (SD), relative standard deviation (RSD) and

confidential interval ($\overline{X} \pm t.S \,\overline{X} = \overline{X}$) [40]. Relative error is lower than 0.25 %.

Conclusion.

The validated external standard UV-spectrophotometric was applied. for determination of Valsartan in pharmaceutiocal dosage preparations (tablets) in 99.98 % ethanol at $\lambda \max = 252$ nm and in methanol at $\lambda \max = 250$ nm. All of the experimental data correspond to the respective confidence intervals at the confidence probability. 98 %: in 99.98 % ethanol: 159.69 mg ÷ 160.37 mg; in methanol: 158.51 mg ÷ 160.73 mg. The validated method can be applied for the determination of Valsartan in dosage drug preparations.

Conflicts of interests. All authors have none to declare.

References.

1. Giles T.D., Oparil S., Silfani T., Walker F. Comparison of ascending doses of Olmesartan medoxomil (O), Losartan potassium (L) and Valsartan (V) in patients (PTS) with essential hypertension (HTN). Amer. J. Hypertens., 2005; 18(5) (Suppl. 1): A59-A60.

2. Julius S., Weber M.A., Kjeldsen S.E., McInnes G.T., Zanchetti A., Brunner H.R., Laragh J., Schork M.A., Hua T.A., Amerena J., Balazovjech I., Cassel G., Herczeg B., Koylan N., Mago-metschnig D., Majahalme S., Martinez F., Oigman W., Gomes R.S., Zhu J.R. The Valsartan antihypertensive long-term use evaluation (VALUE) trial: outcomes in patients receiving monotherapy. Hypertens., 2006; 48(3): 385-391.

3. Kimura Y., Kitagawa K., Oku N., Kajimoto K., Kato H., Tanaka M., Sakaguchi M., Hougaku H., Sakoda S., Hatazawa J. Blood pressure lowering with Valsartan is associated with maintenance of cerebral blood flow and cerebral perfusion reserve in hypertensive patients with cerebral small vessel disease. J. Stroke Cerebrovasc. Disease, 2010; 19(2): 85-91.

4. Delea T.E., Thomas S.K., Moynihan A., Frech F., Oster G. Valsartan versus Lisinopril or Metoprolol to prevent cardiovascular events in patients with hypertension. Amer. J. Hypertens., 2005; 18(5) (Suppl. 1): A55-A56.

5. Majahalme S.K., Baruch L., Aknay N., Goedel-Meinen L., Hofmann M., Hester A., Prescott M.F., Feliciano N. Comparison of treatment benefit and outcome in women versus men with chronic heart failure (from the Valsartan Heart Failure Trial). Amer. J. Cardiol., 2005; 95(4): 529-532.

6. Kai H., Mizuta Y., Imaizumi T. Effects of Valsartan on myocardial fibrosis and diastolic dysfunction in hypertensives. CVD Prevent. Control., 2009; 4(Suppl. 1): S128-S129.

7. Solomon S.D., Skali H., Anavekar N.S., Bourgoun M., Barvik S., Ghali J.K., Warnica J.W.,

Khrakovskaya M., Arnold J.M., Schwartz Y., Velazquez E.J., Califf R.M., McMurray J.V., Pfeffer M.A. Changes in ventricular size and function in patients treated with Valsartan, Captopril, or both fter myocardial infarction. Circulation, 2005; 111(25): 3411-3419.

8. Janardhanan R., Daley W.L., Naqvi T.Z., Mulvagh S.L., Aurigemma G., Zile M., Arnold J.M.O., Artis E., Purkayastha S., Thomas J.D., Solomon S.D. Rationale and design: the VALsartan In Diastolic Dysfunction (VALIDD) Trial: evolving the management of diastolic dysfunction in hypertension. Amer. Heart. J., 2006; 152(2): 246-252.

9. Khaodhiar L., Brennan A.M., Lima C., Chan J.L., Mantzoros C.S., Manning W.J., Danias P.G., Veves A. Effect of Valsartan on left ventricular anatomy and systolic function and aortic elasticity. Metabolism., 2009; 58(5): 682-686.

10. Yasunari K., Maeda K., Watanabe T., Nakamura M., Yoshikawa Y., Asada A. Comparative effects of Valsartan versus Amlodipine on left ventricular mass and reactive oxygen species formation by monocytes in hypertensive patients with left ventricular hypertrophy. ACC Curr. J. Rev., 2004; 13(9): 25-26.

11. Bhanushali S.M., Daniel P.S., Anand I.S., Patel C.N., Bhatt P.A., Parikh K.H. A comparative study on efficacy of angiotensin receptor blocker in acute coronary syndrome patients following medicated and non-medicated stent implantation. J. Global Pharma Technol., 2010; 2(3): 98-106.

12. Monteiro P., Duarte A.I., Gonçalves L.M., Providência L.A. Valsartan improves mitochondrial function in hearts submitted to acute ischemia Eur. J. Pharmacol., 2005; 518(2-3): 158-164.

13. Prasuna N.V., Kumar R.K., Damodharam T. Selective spectrophotometric determination of fluoride in water samples using an Alizarin red S complex as a colored reagent. IJRDO J. Applied Sci., 2015; 1(8): 18-32.

14. Zalov A.Z., Amanullayeva G.I. Spectrophotometric determination of cobalt (II) in a liquid-liquid extraction system containing 2-hydroxy-5-iodothiophenol and diphenylguanidine. IJRDO J. Applied Sci., 2016; 2(7): 17-25.

15. Verdizade N.A., Kuliev K.A., Alieva K.R. Extractive spectrophotometric determination of iron (III) with 5-(p-hydroxybenzylidene)-thiazolidone-2,4. IJRDO J. Applied Sci., 2016; 2(11): 18-27.

16. Verdizadeh N.A., Zalov A.Z., Abaskuliyeva U.B., Ephendiyeva N.N., Hasanova N.C. Liquid-liquid extraction and spectrophotometric determination of cromium (VI) with o-hydroxythiophenols in the presence of hydrophobic amines. IJRDO J. Applied Sci., 2017; 3(5): 66-76.

17. Zalov A.Z., Verdizadeh N.A., Aliyev S.G., Mammadova S.A. Extraction and spectrophotometric determination of tantalum (V) with 2-hydroxythiophenol and its derivatives in the presence of hydrophobic amines. IJRDO J. Applied Sci., 2016; 2(7): 26-37.

18. Thomachan S., Sindhu S., John V.D. Biochemical activities of Curcuminoid analogues with methyl substituted phenyl ring and their transition metal chelates. IJRDO J. Applied Sci., 2015; 1(8): 33-47.

19. Boakye S., Jonfia-Essien W., Voegborlo B.R, Boadi N.O. Assessment of organochlorine pesticides in cocoa beans from Ashanti and Brong Ahafo regions of Ghana. IJRDO J. Applied Sci., 2016; 2(11): 7-19.

20. Momoh H., Idris M.M., Adoum O.A. Evaluation of the antimicrobial and cytotoxic effect of Dendranthema indicum (L Desmoul) leave extract. IJRDO J. Applied Sci., 2017; 3(6): 12-19.

21. Momoh H., Dambata, M.B., Tahir M.T. Phytochemical studies, antimicrobial and antituberculosis evaluation of extracts from the stem bark of Psorospermum senegalense (Spach). IJRDO J. Applied Sci., 2017; 3(6): 3-11.

22. Tatar S., Serap S. Comparison of UV- and second derivative-spectrophotometric and LC methods for the determination of Valsartan in pharmaceutical formulation. J. Pharm. Biomed. Anal., 2002; 30(2): 371-375.

23. Dinc E., Uslu B., Ozkan S.A. Spectral resolution of binary mixture containing Valsartan and Hydrochlorothiazide in tablets by ratio spectra derivative and inverse least squares techniques. Anal. Lett., 2004; 37(4): 679-693.

24. Deshpande M.M., Ahajan M.P., Sawant S.D. Simultaneous estimation of Valsartan and Hydrochlorothiazide in fixed dose combination in UV spectrophotometry. Inter. J. Pharm. Sci., 2012; 3(1): 235-240.

25. Jadhav M.L., Girase M.V., Tidme S.K., Junagade M.S. Development and validation of spectro-photometric methods for simultaneous estimation of Valsartan and Hydrochlorothiazide in tablet dosage form. Int. J. Spectroscopy, 2014; 2014(1): 1-6.

26. Mohamed N.G. Simultaneous determination of Amlodipine and Valsartan. Anal. Chem. Insights, 2011; 6(1): 53-59.

27. Rani P.J., Mounika P., Akifulhaque M, Sireesha D., Harshini S., Vasudha B. Development and validation of analytical method for the simultaneous estimation of of Amlodipine and Valsartan in bulk and pharmaceutical dosage form by UV-spectrophotometric method. Int. J. Innov. Pharm. Sci. Res., 2015; 3(10): 1513-1520.

28. Damle M.C., Singh S.M., Khetre A.B., Darekar R.S. Spectrophotometric methods for simultaneous estimation of Ramipril and Valsartan in combined tablet dosage form. Anal. Chem. Ind. J., 2008; 7(8): 591-594.

29. Stolarczyk M., Apola A., Maślanka A., Kwiecien A., Opoka W. Spectrophotometric method for simultaneous determination of valsartan and substances from the group of statins in binary mixtures. Acta Pharm., 2017; 67: 463-478.

30. Eissa M.S., Al-Alamein A.M.A. Innovative spectrophotometric methods for simultaneous estimation of the novel two-drug combination: Sacubitril/Valsartan through two manipulation approaches and a comparative statistical study. Spectrochim. Acta A: Mol. Biomol. Spectrosc., 2018; 193: 365-374.

31. Anandakumar K., Jayamariappan M. Absorption correction method for the simultaneous estimation of Amlodipine besylate, Valsartan and Hydrochlorothiazide in bulk and in combined tablet dosage form. Int. J. Pharm. Pharm. Sci., 2011; 3(1): 23-27.

32. Jothieswari D., Anandakumar K., Vijaya S.D, Vijayakumar B, Priya D, Rathinaraj S.B. Validated UV Spectrophotometric Method for the Simultaneous Estimation of Amlodipine besylate, Valsartan and Hydrochlorothiazide in bulk and in combined tablet dosage Form. J. Pharm. Biomed. Sci., 2010; 5(5): 1-5.

33. Lotfy HM, Hegazy MA, Mowaka S, Mohamed EH. Novel spectrophotometric methods for simultaneous determination of Amlodipine, Valsartan and Hydrochlorothiazide in their ternary mixture. Spectrochim. Acta A: Mol. Biomolecul. Spectrosc., 2015; 140: 495-508.

34. Kus S., Marczenko Z., Obarski N. Derivative UV-VIS spectrophotometry in analytical chemistry. Chern. Anal. Warsaw, 1996; 41(1): 899-927.

35. Tsvetkova D., Ivanova St. Estimation of validation parameters of UV-Spectrophotometric method for analysis of Valsartan. J. Adv. Pharm. Edu. Res., 2018; 8(3): 37-42.

36. Tsvetkova D., Ivanova S. Investigation and estimation of spectrophotometric values specific and molar absorbances for Losartan Potassium and Valsartan. IJRDO - J Appl. Sci., 2018; 4(9): 13-20.

37. Tsvetkova D., Ivanova S. Application of UV-spectrophotometric method for determination of Losartan Potassium in tablets. Indo Amer. J. Pharm, Sci, 2018; 5(8): 8393-8401.

38. Tsvetkova D., Obreshkova D., Ivanova St, Yankov V., Atanasov P., Hadjieva B. Telmisartan quality control by validation of UV-spectrophotometric method. Int. J. Innov. Res. Med. Sci., 2016; 1(4 : 113-123.

39. Shrivastava A., Gupta V.B. Methods for the determination of limit of detection and limit of quantitation of the analytical methods. Chron. Young Sci. 2011;2(1): 21-25.

40. International Conference on Harmonization of Technical Requirement for Registration of Pharmaceuticals for Human use, ICH harmonized tripartite Guideline, Validation of Analytical procedures Text and methodology Q2 (R1), 2005.